MAY 0 8 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

§

In re Application of: Vanita Mani et al. 10/676,903 Serial No.:

Filed: October 1, 2003

INTEGRAL LAUNDRY For: CLEANING AND DRYING SYSTEM AND METHOD

Mail Stop Appeal Brief Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Group Art Unit: 1792 § § Confirmation No.:

8076

Examiner:

Patel, Rita Ramesh

Atty. Docket: 123860-1/SWA GERD:0040

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION OR MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d), or is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(a)(4), or is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below:

May 8, 2009 /Patrick K. Patnode/ Patrick K. Patnode Date

APPEAL BRIEF PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 41.31 AND 41.37

Appellants hereby electronically file this Appeal Brief in furtherance to the Notice of Appeal and Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review electronically filed on July 16, 2008, and the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review mailed on July 31, 2008 and also in response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed on April 8, 2009.

As reason for non-compliance, it has been mentioned in the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed on April 8, 2009 that the summary of the claimed subject matter does not refer to the claim 1 on appeal explicitly to the specification by page and line numbers and to the drawings if any. It was further mentioned that the entire brief is not required and only the section that was found defective is required. After reviewing the most recent Response, the Appeal Brief filed on September 4, 2008, Appellants noticed that the Appeal Brief lacked the requisite compliance. Appellants hereby resubmit only Section 5. "SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER," with corrected parts with this Response.

If for some reason this Response fails to correct the non-compliance that warranted the subject Notice, Appellants respectfully request a new, more complete Notice be sent and a new time period be set for reply.

5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The present application contains independent claims 1, 16, 27, 80, and 83. However, independent claims 16, 27, 80, and 83 are currently withdrawn from consideration. Thus, only independent claim 1 and its dependent claims are the subject of this appeal.

With regard to the embodiment of the invention set forth in independent claim 1, discussions of the recited features of claim 1 can be found at least in the below cited locations of the specification and drawings. Independent claim 1 includes a home laundry machine (e.g., 10, 200, 500), comprising: a laundry enclosure (e.g., 12, 202, 556) adapted to clean laundry (e.g., 50, 204) in a cleaning fluid (e.g., 68, 208). See, e.g., id., page 3, paragraph 17, lines 1-10, page 4, paragraph 17, lines 1-8, page 4, paragraph 18, lines 1-11, page 5, paragraphs 20-22, lines 1-31, page 6, paragraph 24, lines 1-12; page 7, paragraph 26, lines 1-7, page 8, paragraph 29, lines 1-13, page 9, paragraph 29, lines 1-15, page 17, paragraph 45, lines 1-17, page 17, paragraph 46, lines 1-12, page 18, paragraph 46, lines 1-2, page 19, paragraph 49, lines 1-21, page 20, paragraph 50, lines 1-11, page 20, paragraph 51, lines 1-10, see also FIGS 2-5, 7, 8, and 12. The home laundry machine (e.g., 10, 200, 500) also includes a drying mechanism (e.g., 52, 206, 310) pneumatically coupled to the laundry enclosure (e.g., 12, 202, 556) via an air inlet (e.g., 100, 246) and an air outlet (e.g., 102, 248). See, e.g., id., page 5, paragraph 21, lines 1-13, page 7, paragraph 28, line 1page 10, paragraph 30, line 13; page 15, paragraph 42, lines 1-15, page 16, paragraph 42, lines 1-7, see also FIGS. 2-5, 7, 8, and 12. The drying mechanism (e.g., 52, 206, 310) comprises a vapor compression cycle system (e.g., 258) comprising a condenser (e.g., 260), an evaporator (e.g., 262), and a compressor (e.g., 264) disposed in a closed fluid path (e.g., 268, 270, 272, 274). See, e.g., id., page 2, paragraph 8, lines 1-3, page 2, paragraph 9, lines

Serial No. 10/676,903 Appeal Brief

Page 3 of 3

1-3, page 5, paragraph 20, lines 1-8, page 10, paragraph 31, line 1- page 12, paragraph 35,

line 12, see also FIGS. 4 and 5. The condenser (e.g., 260) is configured to heat air

upstream of the air inlet (e.g., 100, 246), and the evaporator (e.g., 262) is configured to cool

air downstream of the air outlet (e.g., 102, 248). See, e.g., id., page 10, paragraph 31, line

1- page 12, paragraph 35, line 12, see also FIGS. 4 and 5.

Conclusion

In view of the arguments presented herein, Appellants respectfully submit that all

pending claims are in condition for allowance. However, if the Examiner or the Board

wishes to resolve any other issues by way of a telephonic conference, the Examiner or Board

is kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 8, 2009

/Patrick K. Patnode/

Patrick K. Patnode Reg. No. 40,121

General Electric Company One Research Circle Building K1, Room 3A54A Niskayuna, New York 12309

Telephone: (518) 387-5286